

# CODEX ALIMENTARIUS COMMISSION



**Food and Agriculture  
Organization of  
the United Nations**



**World Health  
Organization**

Viale delle Terme di Caracalla, 00153 Rome, Italy - Tel: (+39) 06 57051 - Fax: (+39) 06 5705 4593 - E-mail: [codex@fao.org](mailto:codex@fao.org) - [www.codexalimentarius.org](http://www.codexalimentarius.org)

**REP15/NEA**

**JOINT FAO/WHO FOOD STANDARDS PROGRAMME  
CODEX ALIMENTARIUS COMMISSION  
Thirty eighth Session  
Geneva, Switzerland, 6 - 11 July 2015**

**DRAFT REPORT OF THE EIGHTH SESSION OF  
THE FAO/WHO COORDINATING COMMITTEE FOR THE NEAR EAST  
FAO Headquarters, Rome, Italy  
1 – 5 June 2015**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Summary and Conclusions .....	page ii
Report of the 8 <sup>th</sup> Session of the FAO/WHO Coordinating Committee for the Near East .....	page 1
Summary Status of Work .....	page 13
<b><u>Paragraphs</u></b>	
Introduction .....	1
Opening of the Session .....	2 - 6
Adoption of the Agenda (Agenda Item 1) .....	7 - 8
Matters arising from the Codex Alimentarius Commission and other Codex Committees (Agenda Item 2) .....	9 - 14
FAO/WHO activities relevant to the region, identifying priorities and capacity development needs (Agenda Item 3) .....	15 - 22
Revitalisation of FAO/WHO coordinating committees - proposals on strengthening the role and platform of regional coordinating committees (RCCs) (Agenda Item 4) .....	23 - 32
Comments and information on national food control systems, consumer participation in food standards setting and the use of Codex standards at the national level (replies to <a href="#">CL 2015/04-NEA</a> (Agenda Item 5) .....	33 - 35
Proposed draft Regional Standard for Doogh (Agenda Item 6) .....	36 - 41
Proposed draft Regional Standard for Labneh (Agenda Item 7) .....	42 – 49
Proposed draft Regional Standard for Zaatar (Agenda Item 8) .....	50 – 73
Discussion paper on camel milk (Agenda Item 9) .....	74 - 76
Draft Strategic Plan for CCNEA (Agenda Item 10) .....	77
Nomination of the Coordinator (Agenda Item 11) .....	78 - 79
Other business (Agenda Item 11) .....	80 - 92
Date and Place of Next Session (Agenda Item 14) .....	93
<b>Appendices</b>	
Appendix I - List of Participants .....	page 14
Appendix II – Strategic Plan for CCNEA 2016-2019 .....	page 18

## SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The 8<sup>th</sup> Session of the FAO/WHO Coordinating Committee for the Near East reached the following conclusions:

### **Matters for consideration by the 38<sup>th</sup> Session of the Codex Alimentarius Commission**

The Committee:

- agreed on the need for revitalisation of regional coordinating committees and made comments on several proposals for their revitalisation (paras 28, 29, 31, and 32);
- agreed to recommend that Iran be appointed as Coordinator for the Near East for a first term (para 78).

### **Other matters for information**

The Committee:

- noted matters arising from the Codex Alimentarius Commission and other subsidiary bodies (paras 5 - 14);
- noted FAO and WHO capacity building activities on food quality and safety within the Region including capacity development needs and the successor initiative for the Codex Trust Fund and made a number of comments and recommendations (paras 17 and 22);
- acknowledged the information submitted by members of the Region on food control systems, consumer participation in food standards setting and use of Codex standards at national level and recognised the challenge of balancing the involvement of consumers and industry in the work of Codex while addressing the question of how best to make the food safety systems work and agreed to continue examining this question in future (para 35);
- returned the proposed draft Regional Standard for Dough for further development, comments and consideration at its next session (paras 40 - 41);
- retained the proposed draft Regional Standard for Labneh awaiting the advice of the Commission on the possible amendment of the Standard for Fermented Milks (paras 48 - 49);
- returned the proposed draft Regional Standard for Zaatar for further development, comments and consideration at its next session (paras 72 - 73);
- discontinued consideration of a standard for camel milk and that Member countries of the Region interested in this matter could present a paper for consideration by the Committee in future (para 76);
- agreed with the Strategic Plan for CCNEA 2016-2019 (para 77);
- noted the information provided by Sudan on Gum Arabic vis-à-vis the discussion on this matter in the Committee on Food Additives and encouraged Member countries of the region to submit the necessary data to the FAO/WHO Joint Expert Committee on Food Additives to carry out the safety risk assessment when such data will be requested (paras 81 - 84);
- agreed to consider food quality and safety issues around ready-to-eat pre-packaged salads at its next session (para 88); and
- noted the interest of Lebanon to stand for the candidature of the member to be elected on a geographical basis for the Near East (paras 89 - 90).

**LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS USED IN THIS REPORT**

CAC	Codex Alimentarius Commission
CCCF	Codex Committee on Contaminants in Foods
CCEURO	FAO/WHO Coordinating Committee for Europe
CCEXEC	Executive Committee
CCFA	Codex Committee on Food Additives
CCFH	Codex Committee on Food Hygiene
CCFICS	Codex Committee on Food Import and Export Inspection and Certification Systems
CCFL	Codex Committee on Food Labelling
CCMAS	Codex Committee on Methods of Analysis
CCNEA	FAO/WHO Coordinating Committee for the Near East
CCPFV	Codex Committee on Pesticide Residues
CCNFSDU	Codex Committee on Nutrition and Foods for Special Dietary Uses
CCSCH	Codex Committee on Spices and Culinary Herbs
CL	Circular Letter
CRD	Conference Room Document
CTF	Codex Trust Fund
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations
eWG	Electronic Working Group
GSFA	General Standard for Food Additives
HACCP	Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Point
IDF	International Dairy Federation
IFPRI/Harvest Plus	International Food Policy Research Institute
IHR	International Health Regulations
INFOSAN	International Network of Food Safety Authorities
INS	International Numbering System
JECFA	Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives
MC	Microbiological Criteria
ML	Maximum Level
OIC	Organisation of Islamic Cooperation
RCC(s)	Regional Coordinating Committee(s)
SMIIC	The Standards and Metrology Institute for the Islamic Countries
UAE	United Arab Emirates
WHO	World Health Organisation

## INTRODUCTION

1. The FAO/WHO Coordinating Committee for the Near East (CCNEA) held its eighth Session at FAO Headquarters, Rome, Italy, from 1 to 5 June 2015 in cooperation with the Government of Lebanon. The Session was chaired by Mrs Léna Dargham, Director General, Lebanese Standards Institution. The Session was attended by ten Member countries, three Observer countries, one Observer Member organisation (European Union), three observer organisations and one special Observer country (Palestine). The list of participants is given in Appendix I.

## OPENING

2. Mrs Léna Dargham, Chairperson of CCNEA, welcomed the delegates and the special Observer of Palestine. Mrs Dargham explained that this Session had been scheduled to be held in Lebanon, and in addition to taking special security measures, the Government of Lebanon had completed all the procedures to host the Session and ensure a successful meeting. She further explained that the decision to move the session to Rome was out of the control of the Government of Lebanon, but a decision of the Codex Secretariat. She summarised the challenges in terms of food safety and security in the region and emphasised the importance of regional collaboration within the framework of the clear strategy which makes countries of the region an essential participant in all Codex activities in order to ensure food safety and fair trade practices. She expressed her appreciation for the support shown to Lebanon, in its role of Coordinator, over the last four years.
3. Mr Tom Heilandt, Secretary of the Codex Alimentarius Commission, expressed his regret for the change of venue which had been beyond the control of the Codex and Lebanese Secretariats. He expressed the hope that the possibility of more colleagues from the Codex Secretariat and FAO attending the meeting would be of benefit to CCNEA delegations.
4. Mrs Awilo Ochieng Pernet, Chairperson of the Codex Alimentarius Commission, thanked Lebanon for the excellent work done during their mandate as CCNEA Regional Coordinator. She informed the Committee that she had made it a top priority to attend all the sessions of the FAO/WHO Regional Coordinating Committees during the 2014-2015 cycle. She also stated that developing countries looked forward to the materialisation of the Successor Initiative to the Codex Trust Fund.
5. Dr Soren Madsen, Technical Officer, WHO Regional Office for the Eastern Mediterranean, Centre for Environmental , welcomed delegates, including the special Observer of Palestine on behalf of WHO. Dr Madsen expressed his appreciation that the meeting was taking place in spite of the challenges and difficulties faced in the Region. He recognised the importance of Codex for consumers and the food sector in the Region with food safety *being* an issue in WHO member states. He reminded delegates that WHO had dedicated the 2015 World Health Day to food safety and that celebrations both at regional and national level would be continuing throughout the year. He looked forward to a productive and dynamic meeting and wished to see regional coordinating committees as strong bodies to be relied on whenever food safety activities needed to be strengthened.
6. Dr Renata Clarke, Head, Food Safety and Quality Unit, welcomed delegates and the special Observer of Palestine, on behalf of FAO . Dr Clarke underscored the importance of the FAO/WHO Coordinating Committees in facilitating strong inputs into the work of Codex. She drew the attention of the Committee to the Item on the Revitalisation of the regional coordinating committees and the side event which would provide an update of the FAO/WHO capacity development tools.

## ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA (Agenda Item 1)<sup>1</sup>

7. The Committee adopted the Provisional Agenda as its Agenda for the Session and agreed to consider the following matters in addition to those scheduled for discussion subject to availability of time:

### Agenda Item 11 - Other business and future work

- Establishment of regional standards on:
  - Quick Frozen Green Jew Mallow
  - Molasses from Sugar Cane ("*sugar cane honey*" / "*black honey*")
  - Non-alcoholic Malt Drinks
  - Ready-to-Eat Pre-packaged Salads
- Member of CCEXEC for the Near East Region
- Re-evaluation of the Codex Specification for Gum Arabic (INS 414)
- Update on bio-fortification

---

<sup>1</sup> [CX/NEA 15/8/1](#)

8. The Committee also agreed to establish an in-session working group chaired by Lebanon to revise the draft Strategic Plan for CCNEA 2016-2019.

**MATTERS OF INTEREST ARISING FROM THE CODEX ALIMENTARIUS COMMISSION AND OTHER SUBSIDIARY BODIES (Agenda Item 2)<sup>2</sup>**

9. The Committee noted matters referred arising from CAC36 and CAC37 as follows:
- Adoption of the [Regional Code of Practice for Street-Vended Foods](#) (CAC/RCP 71R-2013).
  - Approval of new work on regional standards for labneh and mixed zaatar.
  - Adoption of the [Codex Strategic Plan 2014-2019](#).
  - Appointment of Lebanon as Coordinator for the Near East for a second term.
  - Endorsement of the monitoring framework for the implementation of the Codex Strategic Plan (2014 – 2019).
10. The Committee took note of the progress made on the proposals for international standards as follows:

**Proposal for standards for chilled and frozen meat**

11. Following the recommendation of CAC36 to: clearly identify the scope of the work, identify gaps within the relevant Codex texts, in particular those related to hygiene applicable to foods and/or meat products; and seek the advice of CCFH to address this proposal in an appropriate manner; the Delegation of Egypt had prepared a revised project document for consideration by CCEXEC70.

**Proposal for a standard for Halal food**

12. The Delegation of Egypt, summarised the discussion and recommendation in CAC, CCEXEC and Codex committees in particular CCFL and CCFICS (CX/NEA 15/8/2) and noted that, together with all interested Member countries, they will continue to work by electronic means to revise the project document for consideration by CCFL43. The revised project document will address gaps in relation to the labelling of halal food so that CCFL43 can make a decision on the possible revision of the [Guidelines for the Use of the Term Halal](#) (CAC/GL 24-1997). The Delegation stressed the need for comprehensive work on halal food within Codex in order to address all relevant aspects related to the production of halal food.
13. Several delegations highlighted the following: the importance of halal food for Muslim countries; the global nature of halal food; work on halal food should be limited to labelling for the time being; any work in Codex on halal food should take into account the standards and related texts developed at the level of Islamic countries in particular the Organisation of Islamic Cooperation / Standards and Metrology Institute for Islamic Countries as reference documents; and work on halal food should have full participation of all interested Member countries.
14. Based on the above discussion, the Committee agreed to recommend that work on halal food should be limited to the revision of the *Guidelines for the Use of the Term Halal* in CCFL for the time being with full participation from Member countries taking into account the standards and related texts developed at the level of Islamic countries e.g. OIC/SMIIC as reference documents.

**FAO/WHO ACTIVITIES COMPLEMENTARY TO THE WORK OF THE CODEX ALIMENTARIUS COMMISSION (Agenda Item 3)<sup>3</sup>**

**FAO/WHO activities relevant to the Region, identification of priorities and capacity development needs**

15. The Representative of FAO informed the Committee that no paper had been prepared for this agenda item. She emphasised that food safety was a priority issue for the Near East and North Africa Region. She explained that four areas of work had been identified as priorities for the region. Being a net food importer, the region relied heavily on food imports and therefore FAO had focused on working with inspection services in member countries for developing their capacities and for moving from end point inspection to systems based on risk analysis. The second priority area was the assistance provided to national Codex committees and for harmonising national food standards with those of Codex. The third area of work was focused on capacity building of officials in risk analysis and in applying HACCP. As regards the fourth area of work, FAO was assisting countries in mainstreaming food safety in food and nutrition security policies at the national level.
16. The Representative of WHO informed the Committee of the following points:

<sup>2</sup> [CX/NEA 15/8/2](#); [CX/NEA 15/8/2-Add.1](#); [CRD1](#) (Comments of Tunisia); [CRDs 8 and 9](#) (Comments of Egypt)

<sup>3</sup> [CX/NEA 15/8/3](#) (Not issued); [CX/NEA 15/8/3-Add.1](#)

- Antimicrobial resistance from food animals due to use and abuse of antimicrobials is an area that is receiving increased attention from WHO as current usage patterns could lead to some human medicine losing its effect. A workshop on this subject is planned for Iran.
  - International Health Regulations are covering food safety events when they are classified as a public health event of international concern. Presently, only 5 of 22 Member countries have reported 100% implementation of IHR in the area of food safety. WHO is assisting Member countries in establishing these capacities.
  - INFOSAN, a network of food safety authorities had been set up and was being operated with FAO. Member countries could benefit from information sharing and support in situations where food safety events or outbreaks of food borne disease occur. Some Member countries have not yet appointed focal points for this network and are encouraged to do so and thus benefit from the support available.
  - Foodborne Disease Burden Epidemiology Reference Group will report the global burden of disease from major sources of foodborne disease later this year. This data would help governments identify and prioritise their food safety efforts on an informed basis.
  - A mycotoxin in sorghum project in Sudan and 3 other African countries jointly implemented by the CTF, FAO and WHO was about to come to a close and a final workshop would be held later this month. The project outcome could lead to the establishment of Codex maximum levels for mycotoxins in sorghum and would therefore be relevant for all sorghum-consuming countries.
  - The Regional Office offered individual support for capacity building in Member countries upon request. Examples are preparations for accreditation of the public health laboratory in Qatar, food sampling techniques in Lebanon, risk assessment in Iran and support for food borne disease outbreak management in Sudan.
  - World Health Day 2015 activities would continue for the rest of the year and the Regional Office was preparing a series of missions to Member countries in order to develop food safety profiles and identify gaps in food safety systems of the region.
17. The delegates expressed their appreciation for the support of FAO and WHO on the various capacity development activities related to Codex and food quality and safety. They recommended that the outcomes of these activities be published on the FAO and WHO websites to be available to Member countries of the region.

#### **Successor initiative to the Codex Trust Fund**

18. The Administrator of the FAO/WHO CTF called attention to the fact that, given that CCNEA was taking place quite late in the cycle of FAO/WHO regional coordinating committees, [CX/NEA 15/8/3-Add.1](#) had been superseded by [CX/CAC 15/38/18-Add.5](#) which contained the FAO/WHO project proposal for the successor initiative to the CTF that was being tabled for CAC38. The Administrator gave some highlights from the project proposal to give an update to member countries of the region.
19. In addition to the information-gathering from Member countries, the design and development of the successor initiative (CTF2) had taken into consideration the findings and conclusions of the final project evaluation of the current CTF. Both these findings and the analysis of FAO/WHO had allowed the parent organisations to conclude that: 1) CTF1 had been successful in acting as a catalyst to participation of beneficiary countries in Codex and raising awareness of the importance of Codex to countries; 2) there were still barriers to effective engagement in Codex that would need to be addressed to further strengthen the capacity of countries to participate fully and effectively in the Codex process. Based on this analysis the focus on the successor initiative would be on assisting countries to address these barriers, most of which were found at national level in national Codex structures and in political and economic support for Codex. This would be the focus of the successor initiative over the 12 year lifespan of CTF2.
20. In order to address barriers to effective engagement CTF2 will:
- Provide support to countries or groups of countries to address specific barriers to effective engagement in Codex. Countries/groups of countries will carry out a self-assessment of their capacity for engagement in Codex to diagnose strengths and weaknesses. Using the results of this assessment, countries/groups of countries can apply for support from the CTF for multi-year projects. Funding will be provided for key activities to address priority barriers in the country or group of countries.
  - Support will also be provided for tailored FAO/WHO capacity building that will be targeted, for example, at a group of countries which face the same barriers to effective engagement in Codex to help them build their capacity independent of whether they are being supported through a country or group application.

21. Next steps include agreement by Member countries on the project proposal at CAC38, development of implementation mechanisms and instruments between September and December 2015, kick-off of CTF2 on 1 January 2016 with a first round of applications opening early in 2016.
22. The delegates expressed their appreciation and support for the CTF and indicated that they would examine the document and provide comments to CAC38. They indicated that the CTF had allowed countries of the region to participate further and more effectively in Codex meetings and raised awareness of the importance of countries' participation in these meetings. They also indicated that the criteria for eligibility should facilitate participation of developing countries in Codex meetings and that the particular situation of political unrest in the Near East region should also be taken into account to continue to support participation of countries of the region in Codex meetings and related activities.

**REVITALISATION OF FAO/WHO COORDINATING COMMITTEES: PROPOSALS ON STRENGTHENING THE ROLE AND PLATFORM OF RCCS (AGENDA ITEM 4)<sup>4</sup>**

23. The Codex Secretariat introduced the item and pointed out that the document had been prepared as a joint effort between FAO and WHO to explore avenues to strengthen the role of RCCs. The Secretariat noted that the Terms of Reference of RCCs supported and enriched both the normative work of CAC and the work of FAO and WHO in food quality and safety fields (including capacity development activities). The Secretariat summarised the challenges identified in the paper concerning: better mechanisms for collection and presentation of data from the region; the need for consistency and complementarity between regional strategic plans and the Codex Strategic Plan; regional positions regarding the role of the RCCs in assisting the coordinator in defining the issues of interest to the region. The Secretariat also drew the attention of the Committee to the summary outcomes of the discussions from the different RCCs as contained in [CX/CAC 15/38/10](#).
24. The Representative of FAO, speaking on behalf of FAO and WHO, recognised the valuable work and role of RCCs and noted the importance of this discussion on revitalisation, as a means to deepen the work at regional level and to help RCCs to focus on contributing to improving food safety governance. Within this context, discussions at RCCs should serve as the basis for better identification of needs including current and emerging issues as well as informing the FAO/WHO capacity building activities within the region. The Representative added that the focus of this Session should be to agree on a vision for improved functioning of the Committee, while implementation of the vision would take more time.

25. The Committee focused its discussion on proposals 3.1 to 3.4 as follows:

**Proposal 3.1 – Alignment of RCCs agendas - inclusion of standing items**

26. The Committee agreed to the proposal to align the agenda of all RCCs with a view to have key standing items on: Food safety and quality situations in countries in the region; key note address; monitoring the Codex Strategic Plan; and food standards in the region.

**Proposal 3.2 – Platform for information sharing on food control systems and roles and responsibilities in food safety**

27. The Representative of FAO noted the proposal aimed at establishing a better mechanism for data collection and analysis of food safety and food control issues, currently collated through the use of a circular letter. The Committee was requested to provide feedback on what types of information they saw a value in sharing and was prepared to invest time and effort in providing. It was proposed that the platform could be useful for sharing information within and outside the region; and would also assist FAO/WHO on the current status of activities in the region. The Representative noted the current challenges related to the use of CLs where data analysis was on an *ad hoc* basis and often disjointed, and proposed to have an online system as a mechanism to collect and share information.
28. The Committee supported the proposal to replace the current CL with an online system to collect the data, but noted that such a system should take into account the organisational structure in and needs of Member countries especially the challenges related to: collection and in putting data and information into the system at national and regional level and coordination of multiple stakeholders to ensure validity and quality of data. It was further agreed that there would be a need to identify the type of data to be collected. The Committee also called upon FAO/WHO to assist in this regard.

---

<sup>4</sup> [CX/NEA 15/8/4](#)

**Proposal 3.3 – Identification of needs and priorities in regions (persistent and emerging food safety/quality issues)**

29. The Committee agreed with the proposal for establishing better mechanisms for identification of needs for the region for improved participation in the CAC work and that such mechanisms should be adapted to the region and would require the cooperation of all members. The Committee further noted that the mechanisms/avenues for identification of needs would include: national/regional capacity building workshops; and FAO/WHO national/regional projects.
30. The FAO Representative emphasised that there were potential linkages between the different proposals for the Committee and various FAO and WHO activities – one could be informed or be supported by the other, e.g. future discussions at CCNEA could inform FAO/WHO capacity building programmes, including the CTF successor initiative; and FAO/WHO workshops and projects at national and regional level could contribute to better identification of needs and issues, which could be further discussed at the Committee.

**Proposal 3.4 – Regional Strategic Plan**

31. The Committee noted that the CCNEA Strategic Plan (2016 – 2019) was intended to bring together the efforts of Member countries of the region to improve the coordination between these countries and to enhance their participation in Codex activities. The Committee noted that this was within the framework of the implementation of the Codex Strategic Plan and therefore effectively addressed the principle of complementarity between the regional strategic plan and the Codex Strategic Plan.

**Conclusion**

32. The Committee endorsed the proposals set out under 3.1, 3.2, 3.3 and 3.4 as presented above and agreed to continue developing a regional strategic plan that would complement the Codex Strategic Plan.

**COMMENTS AND INFORMATION ON NATIONAL FOOD CONTROL SYSTEMS, CONSUMER PARTICIPATION IN FOOD STANDARDS SETTING AND THE USE OF CODEX STANDARDS AT THE NATIONAL LEVEL (REPLIES TO CL 2015/4-NEA) (Agenda Item 5)<sup>5</sup>**

33. The Chairperson informed the Committee that, with regard to questions contained in [CL 2015/04-NEA](#), four countries had provided their responses and other countries were welcome to provide summaries on this subject, especially on the following two main issues: (i) Challenges facing national food control systems and national needs; and (ii) The use of Codex standards at the national level and the challenges faced in this regard especially those related to the use of Codex general standards and related texts in the elaboration of national standards that should be based on scientific evidence.
34. In addition to the replies provided in writing, the Committee noted the following interventions:
- The Delegation of Egypt informed the Committee that they were in the process of drawing up a strategic plan for risk analysis in food with a scientific basis. Also they hoped that FAO and WHO could support them to establish this work.
  - The Delegation of Libya introduced their national food control system and noted that the system was based on their national standards which were harmonised with international standards and covered all locally produced and imported products. If no national standards were available, regional or international standards could be consulted.
  - The Delegation of Lebanon highlighted the challenge of risk communication with respect to the management of scientific information in situations where the media mainly focused on the negative aspects of a particular situation. The Delegation also outlined the difficulties they face when adopting some Codex standards, in particular the [General Standard for Food Additives](#) (CODEX/STAN 192-1995) where certain additives were not permitted or mentioned in the GSFA, but allowed in other international and regional references.

---

<sup>5</sup> [CL 2015/04-NEA](#); [CX/NEA15/8/5](#) (Comments of Egypt, Sudan and Tunisia); [CRD 2](#) (Comments of Lebanon)

- The Delegation of Sudan referred to the challenges they face in the field of food safety risk assessment and reported on several points as follows. (i) Some of their food laboratories had been accredited. (ii) The Sudanese Law for accreditation had been promulgated in preparation for the establishment of the Sudanese accreditation board. (iii) A decision had been taken to ensure conformity prior to import to guarantee the quality of imported foods. (iv) Memoranda of understanding were signed with countries including China, Turkey and Egypt for inspection according to standards. (v) The national committee for consumer affairs had been established and included members for all supervisory bodies to guarantee food quality and raise public awareness. (vi) The number of internationally accredited companies has increased in Sudan (vii) Laws were promulgated regarding bio-safety, fertilizers, food supplements and food re-packaging.
  - The Delegation of Tunisia reported that from 2012 to 2015, they had continued to implement a strategic legal and institutional reform in order to establish a national food control system based on risk assessment (the creation of a single body for food safety). The system also provided for the set up of an early warning system, as well as evaluation of product quality by using international standards. The Delegation highlighted a project on issuing an online service that was expected to improve the efficiency of food safety control. They mentioned that a project of accreditation of the food safety control division in the Ministry of Health was underway.
  - The special Observer of Palestine pointed out the challenges in their territory including the weak food control system coupled with many informal border entries. Currently, they were focusing on the standards and testing for microorganisms and food additives. The Delegation also referred to a project led by FAO with the support of the Dutch Government to establish a national strategy for food safety before the end of 2015.
35. The Chairperson concluded that common problems were faced in the region with regard to food safety systems. The problems related specifically to national legal frameworks governing food control systems, the existence of different authorities involved in this control with the absence of a good coordination, and the difficulties in performing food safety risk assessment and applying traceability systems. In addition, war and refugee problems faced by the region were well recognised with relation to the above issues.

#### **PROPOSED DRAFT REGIONAL STANDARD FOR DOUGH (Agenda Item 6)<sup>6</sup>**

36. The Chairperson recalled that CCNEA07 had not been able to consider the standard in detail in the absence of Iran, the country who had led the development of a regional standard for doogh in CCNEA and possessed the expertise on this product. The standard had subsequently been revised in an eWG led by Lebanon and presented for consideration by CCNEA08. The Chairperson further noted that one of the questions raised at CCNEA07 was about the difference between “ayran” and “doogh” as there seemed to be similarities between the products. In this regard, the Chairperson reminded the Committee of the decision taken in CCEURO29 to discontinue work on a regional standard for ayran and requested the views of delegates as to whether this decision could impact on the work on doogh in CCNEA.
37. The Delegation of Iran indicated that “ayran” and “doogh” were two different products, especially the percentages of milk protein and milk solids-no-fat which were much lower in doogh compared to ayran. In addition, they had different properties in relation to the physical, chemical, microbiological and organoleptic characteristics as well as the volume of annual production and potential for export. The Delegation further noted that “doogh” was a traditional and very ancient drink based on fermented milk that did not fit into the definition of fermented milk / drinks based on fermented milk in the [Standard for Fermented Milks](#) (CODEX STAN 243-2003) as the fermented milk content required for drinks based on fermented milk, and the milk protein content defined for fermented milk in this Standard, were much higher when compared to doogh (amongst other differences). In view of this, the Delegation reasserted the need for a separate standard for doogh that could be developed as a regional standard in CCNEA. The Delegation recalled that this decision was consistent with the advice of CCMMP08 when it revised the *Standard for Fermented Milks*.

---

<sup>6</sup> [CX/NEA 15/8/6](#); CX/NEA 15/8/6-Add.1 (Not issued); [CRD3](#) (Comments of Iran)

38. The Codex Secretariat noted that the Codex Alimentarius Commission encouraged the development of horizontal standards when addressing similar products as opposed to vertical, detailed commodity standards (when feasible and suitable) to facilitate their application by Codex members. The *Standard for Fermented Milks* is an example of this approach. In addition, worldwide standards are preferable to regional standards based on trade of the product. The Secretariat further noted that the recommendation of CCMMP08 to develop regional standards for “ayran” and “doogh” was made because the proposal for inclusion of these products in the *Standard for Fermented Milks* was tabled when the Committee was finalising the revision of the Standard before adjourning *sine die*. The Secretariat also noted that if CCNEA considered it appropriate to proceed with a regional standard for doogh, the standard should be drafted in such a way as to address essential composition and quality factors, in addition to a clear product definition, and food additive and labelling requirements that would facilitate the incorporation of doogh into the *Standard for Fermented Milks* in future.
39. The Observer of IDF noted that CRD3 indicated that doogh is currently being exported to countries outside the CCNEA region and therefore it would be beneficial to align the proposed standard with the *Standard for Fermented Milks* as per those general provisions applicable to fermented milks including doogh. The Observer recalled that the *Standard for Fermented Milks* was the result of a compromise among Codex members on a number of provisions such as the minimum fermented milk content for drinks based on fermented milks, and the subsequent milk protein content for fermented milks, which might have to be further lowered to allow the inclusion of doogh in the Standard. Clarification was also needed on some provisions in the current proposal that might require relocation under other sections of the standard or further discussion as per their technological need and levels proposed. The Observer expressed the willingness of IDF to assist the Committee with the elaboration of a standard for doogh if CCNEA considered appropriate the elaboration of a regional standard for this product.

#### **Conclusion**

40. The Committee noted general support for the development of a regional standard for doogh and therefore agreed to retain doogh as a regional standard and to establish an eWG led by Iran working in Arabic and English to prepare a revised proposed draft standard for consideration at its next session with a view to its completion and final adoption by CAC40 in 2017.

#### **STATUS OF THE PROPOSED DRAFT STANDARD FOR DOOGH**

41. The Committee agreed to return the proposed draft Standard to Step 2/3 for revision, circulation for comments and consideration by the next session of CCNEA.

#### **PROPOSED DRAFT REGIONAL STANDARD FOR LABNEH (Agenda Item 7)<sup>7</sup>**

42. The Delegation of Lebanon, as lead country on the development of the regional Standard for Labneh, introduced the item and reminded the Committee that CCNEA07 had agreed to recommend new work on a regional standard for this product and that CAC36 had approved the new work. The Delegation highlighted the importance of this product for Lebanon and countries of the region as shown in the data on trade volume provided in the project document submitted in support of the proposal for new work at CAC36.
43. The Delegation acknowledged that labneh was included as an example of concentrated fermented milk in the *Standard for Fermented Milks*. However, they stated that the general provisions of this Standard did not sufficiently cover the specific attributes of labneh and thus the development of a regional standard would better accommodate the particular characteristics of labneh and would facilitate and increase trade in this product.
44. The Codex Secretariat indicated that it would not be appropriate to develop a regional standard for labneh while the issue of having labneh covered by a worldwide standard for fermented milks remained. In light of this situation, the following options were available for consideration by the Committee: 1) to remove labneh from the *Standard for Fermented Milks* and to continue the development of a regional standard for labneh or 2) to amend the *Standard for Fermented Milks* to better accommodate labneh in this standard. In both cases, a document containing the technical justification for such a proposal should be prepared and submitted to CCEXEC for consideration under the Critical Review. Meanwhile work on labneh in CCNEA should not proceed in the Step Procedure to avoid potential technical barriers to trade by having two standards covering the same product.

---

<sup>7</sup> [CX/NEA 15/8/7](#); CX/NEA 15/8/7-Add.1 (Not issued)

45. The Codex Secretariat also noted that if there was consensus within the Committee to keep labneh as a regional standard, the current proposal should be re-drafted to align the layout with the Codex format for commodity standards in particular the *Standard for Fermented Milks*. The new draft should focus specifically on those sections dealing with the scope, product description, essential composition and quality factors, food additives and labelling, as these are the aspects that commodity standards are mainly focused on. Provisions in these sections should be drafted in such a way that would facilitate the insertion of labneh in the *Standard for Fermented Milks* at a later stage. This would be in line with the recommendation of the Codex Alimentarius Commission to move towards the establishment of international horizontal standards (grouping similar products when possible and appropriate) to facilitate their implementation by Member countries.
46. The Observer of IDF cautioned the Committee against a hasty removal of the reference to labneh from the *Standard for Fermented Milks* as labneh was mentioned as one example of a concentrated fermented milk. Furthermore, cross-referencing the existing international standard and specifically section 2.2 should, if appropriate, be included in the proposed regional standard, which could aid the adoption of the regional standard in due course. The Observer explained that cross-referencing might subsequently also facilitate specific requirements for labneh being included in the existing international standard, as was the case for the specific fermented milk types of “kefir” and “kumys”. This course of action did not exclude the possibility of deleting the reference to labneh in the *Standard for Fermented Milks* at a later stage if that became desirable or necessary.
47. The Observer further noted that international Codex standards were intended to protect consumer health and to ensure fair trade practices. He added that Codex regional standards were developed for food products moving exclusively or almost exclusively in intra-regional trade. When looking at a regional standard for labneh, it would be wise to take into account the international trade in products called labneh outside the CCNEA region as well as within to ensure that there were no barriers to such wider trade. The Observer also suggested that the content of the proposed regional standard should confine itself to those requirements and characteristics that were essential to labneh, and avoid content that was not strictly needed or necessary, because the more detail that is included in a standard the more difficult it would be to achieve the necessary agreement to ensure progress. In this case it would be wise to identify the specific shortcomings in the existing *Standard for Fermented Milks* with regard to labneh, as doing so could facilitate those requirements being included in the *Standard for Fermented Milks* standard at a later time. The Observer reasserted the readiness of IDF to assist experts within the CCNEA region in further developing the proposed regional standard for labneh.

### **Conclusion**

48. The Committee agreed with the proposal to retain labneh as a regional standard. It was agreed that Lebanon, with the assistance of interested Member countries, would prepare a document to provide the technical justification for the removal of the reference to labneh in the *Standard for Fermented Milks* for consideration by CCEXEC. It was further agreed that Lebanon, with the assistance of interested Member countries, would continue to work on the technical provisions specific to labneh while awaiting the decision of CAC on this matter.

### **STATUS OF THE PROPOSED DRAFT REGIONAL STANDARD FOR LABNEH**

49. The Committee agreed to retain the Standard at Step 4 and to reconsider the Standard at its next session in light of the advice of the Commission.

### **PROPOSED DRAFT REGIONAL STANDARD FOR ZAATAR (Agenda Item 8)<sup>8</sup>**

50. The Delegation of Lebanon, as lead country on the development of the regional Standard for Zaatar introduced the item. They requested general comments on the different sections of the standard that could be taken up by an eWG in the further elaboration of the standard with a view to its finalisation by the next session of CCNEA.
51. The Committee agreed to hold a general discussion on each section of the standard and noted that the standard should be revised in light of these comments:

#### **Title and scope**

52. The title of the standard should be amended to reflect the fact that the product was a mixture of different ingredients having zaatar as the main ingredient by referring to e.g. “blended zaatar” or “mixed zaatar” or “table zaatar”.

---

<sup>8</sup> [CX/NEA 15/8/8](#); CX/NEA 15/8/8-Add.1 (Not issued)

### Description

53. The description “raw zaatar” should include additional varieties of zaatar existing in the region, and the description of “mixed zaatar” should highlight the essential ingredients as well as the optional ingredients that could be added in some types of mixed zaatar.
54. The product should derive its colour from the raw zaatar (i.e. green) and summak (i.e. red) and so no colours should be added.
55. Olive oil or other types of vegetable oils and citric acid may be added as part of the optional ingredients.

### Classification

56. Classification should be based on quality requirements rather than on the composition of the product. The levels of some ingredients (i.e. composition) expressed as percentages may not represent the true nature of the product as sold in the market in different countries of the region.

### Essential composition and quality factors

57. **General requirements:** Clarification is needed on the use of the words “powder” as opposed to “chopped in fine particles” as well as on the use of terms such “flavours and odours” as opposed to “taint”.
58. **Chemical requirements:** Since zaatar is a mixture of products, setting chemical requirements would be difficult as it necessitated determination of the composition of each ingredient and might be a challenge for laboratory testing.
59. The volatile oil content and protein content are associated with the percentage of zaatar and sesame in the product therefore the percentage of these ingredients should be set to avoid fraudulent practices.
60. There is a need to carefully consider the relevancy of pH and acidity and the high levels of ash with respect to the quality of the product.
61. The sentence related to the ingredients being compliant with the relevant Codex standard should be relocated at the beginning of the section.

### Food Additives

62. The use and amount of citric acid used should be clarified and CCFA may be consulted in this regard.
63. The Codex Secretariat noted that citric acid was listed under Table 3 in the GSFA; and that additives under this category were considered generally safe for use in foods at GMP level. The Secretariat further explained that it was the responsibility of CCNEA to provide a technological justification for the use of the additive in the product, including the levels which should be expressed in mg/kg.

### Contaminants

64. There might be a need to indicate maximum levels for contaminants in zaatar and/or some of the ingredients if no MLs were available in the [General Standard for Contaminants and Toxins in Food and Feed](#) (CODEX STAN 193-1995) to ensure the safety of the product.
65. The Codex Secretariat indicated that if there was a need to establish MLs for zaatar or certain ingredients in the mixture, this was within the remit of CCCF and according to the Codex Procedure for the establishment of Codex MLs for contaminants, a safety assessment should be first carried out by JECFA before CCCF could consider the establishment of such MLs. This would imply that interested countries would have to provide relevant data in order for JECFA to carry out the risk assessment.

### Hygiene

66. Divergent views on the establishment of specific microbiological criteria were noted, those views in favour of establishing MC noted that processing conditions could expose the product to microbial contamination and thus there was a need for such criteria, while those views against the establishment of MC recognised the need for such criteria but they should be set at national level rather than in a regional standard taking into account the particular characteristics of the product and the processing condition in the country concerned.

67. The Codex Secretariat explained that before establishing microbiological criteria, the Committee should take into account the current work of the CCFH which entailed the possible development of microbiological criteria for low moisture foods, including spices and dried aromatic herbs and advice from FAO/WHO. However, should the Committee wish to proceed with establishing MC in the Standard, the criteria should be in accordance with the [Principles and Guidelines for the Establishment and Application of Microbiological Criteria Related to Foods](#) (CAC/GL 21-1997). Special note should also be taken with regards to the components of and considerations for MC, and in order to allow endorsement by CCFH, justification for the MCs established would have to be provided.

#### **Packing, storage and transportation**

68. This section should be deleted in light of the provisions on food hygiene under Section 7.

#### **Labelling**

69. The term “food additive” should be replaced with “citric acid”. In addition, consideration should be given as to whether it was necessary to include “expiry date” in addition to the date of packing.

#### **Methods of Analysis**

70. The Codex Secretariat informed the Committee that the methods would have to be sent to CCMAS for endorsement at an appropriate time and that CCNEA could raise any question of concern with respect to analytical methods and general sampling with this Committee.

#### **General comments**

71. The Codex Secretariat noted the need for the alignment of the layout of the standard with the Codex format for commodity standards in particular those that were used in CCPFV and CCSCCH so as to ensure consistency. In particular the section on essential composition and quality factors should be brought into line with the format used in the aforesaid standards. The Secretariat further noted that the revision should exclude hygiene and contaminants as the standard provisions (as presented in the current proposal) are those generally applied across commodity standards unless there was a need to address a particular issue that would then be subject to endorsement by CCCF and/or CCFH.

#### **Conclusion**

72. The Committee agreed to establish an eWG led by Lebanon, working in Arabic and English, to prepare a revised proposed draft standard for consideration at its next session with a view to its completion and final adoption by CAC40 in 2017.

#### **STATUS OF THE PROPOSED DRAFT STANDARD FOR ZAATAR**

73. The Committee agreed to return the proposed draft Standard to Step 2/3 for revision, circulation for comments and consideration by the next session of CCNEA.

#### **DISCUSSION PAPER ON A STANDARD FOR CAMEL MILK (Agenda Item 9)<sup>9</sup>**

74. The Chairperson reminded the Committee that this issue has been discussed at several sessions of CCNEA including CCNEA07. The Committee had decided to defer discussion on this matter to a later stage when more scientific information would become available as to the properties of the product and a complete review of the available Codex texts including relevant FAO and WHO documents had been carried out. This work would guide the Committee in determining whether work in CCNEA was needed, what aspects of the product should be addressed and the type of document that should be developed for this purpose.

75. The Chairperson further recalled that the United Arab Emirates was to have carried out this task and present the results to the present session of CCNEA, however, no document had been submitted and there was no delegation of UAE present at the current session.

#### **Conclusion**

76. The Committee agreed to discontinue consideration of this matter and that should Member countries of the region be interested in this issue in the future they could present a discussion paper for consideration by CCNEA.

#### **DRAFT STRATEGIC PLAN FOR CCNEA 2016-2019 (Agenda Item 10)<sup>10</sup>**

77. The Committee agreed with the Strategic Plan for CCNEA 2016-2019 as revised by the in-session Working Group led by Lebanon (Appendix II).

---

<sup>9</sup> CX/NEA 15/8/9 (Not issued)

<sup>10</sup> [CX/NEA 15/8/10](#)

**NOMINATION OF THE COORDINATOR (Agenda Item 11)<sup>11</sup>**

78. The Committee agreed to recommend to CAC38 that the Islamic Republic of Iran be appointed for a first term as Coordinator for the Near East. The Delegation of Iran accepted the nomination and expressed its commitment to the region to continue the work successfully carried out by Lebanon.
79. The Committee expressed its thanks to Lebanon for the excellent work carried out as Coordinator of CCNEA during the 4 years of its term.

**OTHER BUSINESS AND FUTURE WORK (Agenda Item 12)<sup>12</sup>****Regional standards for Quick Frozen Green Jew Mallow; Molasses from Sugar Cane and Non-Alcoholic Malt Drinks**

80. The Committee noted that Egypt would re-submit revised proposals for consideration at CCNEA09.

**Gum Arabic**

81. The Delegation of Sudan informed the Committee of their request to CCFA47 to re-evaluate the Codex Specification for Gum Arabic (INS 414) by describing each types separately given that the scientific information available demonstrate that both types have different characteristics from each other and from the other types of gum. The Delegation further indicated that Sudan had conducted extensive research on the product; and that it is safe for use in food including food for infants and young children. They requested support from CCNEA Member countries on this request when the matter would be considered in CCFA.
82. The JECFA Secretariat noted that the request from Sudan was discussed at CCFA47; which had agreed to include Gum Arabic in the CCFA Priority List for JECFA safety assessment and establishment of specifications. This evaluation would be scheduled by the JECFA Secretariat taking into consideration the requests to JECFA from other Codex committees. A public call for data would be issued when the evaluation is scheduled for consideration by JECFA.
83. The Codex Secretariat mentioned that Member countries of the region, who would like to support the request of Sudan, should submit relevant data to JECFA when the call for data is issued, so that JECFA can carry out the risk assessment and establishment of the specification and so that CCFA can make a decision in this regard.
84. The Committee took note of the information provided and encouraged members to submit the necessary data to JECFA when requested.

**Ready-to-eat pre-packaged salads**

85. The special Observer of Palestine noted that a variety of fresh and chilled salads were widely traded and consumed in Palestine and in the Near East region, however existing Codex standards did not cover these products. The Observer proposed that CCNEA should consider elaborating single or general standards for these types of products.
86. The Committee noted that these products were widely consumed within the region and elsewhere and that commodity standards could be useful to ensure their quality and safety. The Committee noted that before it could proceed with the matter, a number of issues needed clarification such as the identification of the concerns around these products, whether such concerns could be addressed through existing standards and/or related texts, and, if not, what kind of standard and/or related text could be developed.
87. The Codex Secretariat clarified that Palestine could prepare a discussion paper for the next CCNEA that: clearly defines the nature of the problem (i.e. quality and/or safety issues involved); the gaps within applicable Codex standards and related texts - especially those related to food hygiene; production and trade volumes. Based on the discussion paper, the Committee would be in a better position to take a decision at its next session.
88. The Committee agreed that Palestine would prepare a discussion paper for consideration at its next session.

**The representation of geographical basis**

89. The Delegation of Lebanon informed the Committee of their intention to stand for the candidature for the member to be elected on geographical basis for the Near East.
90. The Committee noted the information provided.

<sup>11</sup> [CX/NEA 15/8/11](#)

<sup>12</sup> [CRD4](#); [CRD5](#); and [CRD6](#) (Comments of Egypt); [CRD7](#) (Comments of Sudan); [CRD10](#) (Comments of Palestine)

**Update on bio-fortification**

91. The Observer of IFPRI/Harvest Plus informed the Committee on the ongoing work on food bio-fortification in staple foods (i.e. sweet potatoes; cassava; rice and pearl millet) aiming to increase the level of micro nutrients such as Vitamin A; Zinc and Iron in foods with a view of combating malnutrition. She also updated the Committee on the progress towards developing a definition for bio-fortification through CCFSDU and called upon CCNEA for support in this work.
92. The Committee noted the information provided.

**DATE AND PLACE OF THE NEXT SESSION (Agenda Item 13)**

93. The Committee was informed that its 9<sup>th</sup> Session would be held in approximately two years' time and that more detailed arrangements would be communicated to Members following the appointment of the Coordinator by the 38<sup>th</sup> Session of the Commission and in consultation with the Codex Secretariat.

**ANNEX**  
**SUMMARY STATUS OF WORK**

<b>SUBJECT MATTER</b>	<b>STEP</b>	<b>ACTION BY</b>	<b>DOCUMENT REFERENCE REP15/NEA</b>
Proposed draft Regional Standard for Doogh	2/3	eWG (Iran) Governments CCNEA09	Para. 41
Proposed draft Regional Standard for Labneh	4	---	Para. 49
Proposed draft Regional Standard for Zaatar	2/3	eWG (Lebanon) Governments CCNEA09	Para. 73
Discussion paper on camel milk	Discontinued	---	Para. 76
Draft Strategic Plan for CCNEA 2016-2019	Completed	Coordinator / Codex Contact Points	Para. 77 Appendix II

**APPENDIX I**

**LIST OF PARTICIPANTS  
LISTE DES PARTICIPANTS  
LISTA DE PARTICIPANTES**

**Chairperson/Présidente/Presidenta:**

Mrs Lena DARGHAM  
Director General  
The Lebanese Standards Institution- LIBNOR  
Sin El Fil, City Rama Street,  
LIBNOR building  
Beirut, Lebanon  
Tel: 9611485927  
Fax: 9611485929  
Email: [libnor@libnor.org](mailto:libnor@libnor.org)  
Website: [www.libnor.gov.lb](http://www.libnor.gov.lb)

**EGYPT - ÉGYPTE - EGIPTO**

Mr Kamel MOHAMED  
(Head of Delegation)  
Manager of Food Standards Department  
Egyptian Organization for Standardization & Quality  
Food Standards Department  
16 Tadreeb El- Modarben- Cairo  
Tel: 20222845531  
Fax: 20222845507  
Email: [kameldarwish@ymail.com](mailto:kameldarwish@ymail.com)

Dr Reda ABDEL GALIL  
Food Safety and Regulatory Manager  
Chamber of Food Industries (CFI)  
Federation of Egyptian Industries (FEI)  
Cairo  
Tel: 002 0100 650 5752  
Email: [redaabdalgaliil@gmail.com](mailto:redaabdalgaliil@gmail.com)

Mr Mustafa EL DEEB  
Regulatory and Quality Assurance  
Manager  
Bel Egypt Expansion Company  
Cairo  
Tel: 002 01006090755  
Email: [Meldeeb@groupe-bel.com](mailto:Meldeeb@groupe-bel.com)

**IRAN (ISLAMIC REPUBLIC OF) -  
IRAN (RÉPUBLIQUE ISLAMIQUE D') -  
IRÁN (REPÚBLICA ISLÁMICA DEL)**

Dr Amir MORTAZAVIAN  
Member of national CCNEA of Iran  
Faculty of Nutrition Sciences and Food Technology  
National Nutrition and Food Technology Research  
Institute  
Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences  
Tel: 0098-912-7114977  
Email: [mortazvn@sbmu.ac.ir](mailto:mortazvn@sbmu.ac.ir)

Dr Heshmatolah RAZAVI MOSAVI  
Member of CCMMP in Iran  
Ministry of Health  
Email: [H.mosavi@fda.gov.ir](mailto:H.mosavi@fda.gov.ir)

Dr Mohammad Hossein SHOJAEE ALIABADI  
Senior Scientific advisor of Institute of Standards  
and Industrial Research of Iran (ISIRI)  
Director and Laboratory Manager  
Farogh Life Sciences Research Laboratory  
Tel: +0989121591766  
Email: [faroghlab@gmail.com](mailto:faroghlab@gmail.com)

**JORDAN - JORDANIE - JORDANIA**

Mr Fiesal Rasheed Salamh AL ARGAN  
Deputy Permanent Representative to FAO  
Rome

**LEBANON - LIBAN - LÍBANO**

Mrs Mariam EID  
Ministry of Agriculture  
Agro-Industries Department  
Beirut  
Tel: 00961 1824100  
Email: [meid@agriculture.gov.lb](mailto:meid@agriculture.gov.lb)

Prof. Joseph MATTA  
Head of Laboratories Division  
The Industrial Research Institute- IRI  
Beirut  
Fax: 9615467830  
Email: [chem@iri.org.lb](mailto:chem@iri.org.lb)

**LIBYA - LIBYE - LIBIA**

Ing. Elhadi E.E. ELZANATI  
Alternate  
Permanent Representative of Libya to FAO in  
Rome, Italy  
Email: [faoprly@gmail.com](mailto:faoprly@gmail.com)

Mahmud K. AL-TELLISI  
 Ambassador of Libya to FAO  
 Permanent Representation of Libya to FAO  
 Tel: 0039 324 6885583  
 Email: [faoprly@gmail.com](mailto:faoprly@gmail.com)

#### **QATAR**

Dr Shaikha Abdulla AL-ZEYARA  
 Head of Environmental Health  
 Supreme Council of Health/Qatar  
 Food Safety & Environment Health, PHD  
 P.O.Box 42  
 Doha  
 Tel: (+974) 44070160  
 Email: [salzeyara@sch.gov.qa](mailto:salzeyara@sch.gov.qa)

#### **SAUDI ARABIA - ARABIE SAOUDITE - ARABIA SAUDITA**

Mr Hani MANSI  
 (Head of Delegation)  
 Regional and International Standards Dept. Manager  
 Saudi Food and Drug Authority  
 Dept. of Technical Regulations & standards  
 North Ring Road - Al Nafal Unit (1)  
 Riyadh  
 Tel: +966112038222  
 Email: [codex.cp@sfd.gov.sa](mailto:codex.cp@sfd.gov.sa)

Mr Mohammad ALGHAMDI  
 Head of codex national committee  
 Saudi Food and Drug Authority  
 Dept. of Technical Regulations & standards  
 North Ring Road - Al Nafal Unit (1)  
 Riyadh  
 Tel: +966112038222  
 Email: [codex.cp@sfd.gov.sa](mailto:codex.cp@sfd.gov.sa)

#### **SUDAN - SOUDAN - SUDÁN**

Mrs Sana ELSHAFI  
 Planning and Research Director  
 Sudanese Standard & Metrology Organization  
 Planning and Research Department  
 Eljamaa Street  
 Khartoum  
 Tel: +249912389023  
 Email: [sanaelshafie2013@gmail.com](mailto:sanaelshafie2013@gmail.com)

Mrs Maha IBRAHIM  
 Excutive Office Manager  
 Sudanese Standard & Metrology Organization  
 Eljamaa Street  
 Khartoum  
 Tel: +249127460726  
 Email: [maabmoib2391960@gmail.com](mailto:maabmoib2391960@gmail.com)

#### **TUNISIA - TUNISIE - TÚNEZ**

Mr Lazhar RAZGALLAH  
 (Head of Delegation)  
 DIRECTEUR  
 Centre technique de l'agroalimentaire  
 12, rue de l'usine - Charguia 2 -  
 2035 Tunis  
 Tel: +216 71 940 198  
 Email: [ctaa@ctaa.com.tn](mailto:ctaa@ctaa.com.tn)

Dr Wassila GZARA  
 Inspecteur - Médecin vétérinaire  
 Ministère de la santé  
 Direction de l'hygiène du milieu et de la  
 protection de l'environnement  
 Rue jebel Lakhdar - Beb Saadoun  
 1006 Tunis  
 Tel: +216 71577282  
 Email: [wassilajaibi@yahoo.fr](mailto:wassilajaibi@yahoo.fr)

Mr Hamdi MEJRI  
 Sous Directeur  
 Agence Nationale de Contrôle Sanitaire et  
 environnemental des produits - Ministère de la  
 santé publique  
 Contrôle sanitaire des produits Alimentaires et  
 des Eaux-ANCSEP  
 2 Rue Ibn Nadim, Montplaisir.  
 1073 Tunis  
 Tel: +216 71 903 942  
 Email: [mejry@yahoo.fr](mailto:mejry@yahoo.fr)

#### **YEMEN - YÉMEN**

Mr Abdullah Na'ami QUTRAN AL –NA'AMI  
 Alternate Permanent Representative  
 Embassy of the Republic of Yemen  
 Via Antonio Bosio, 10 – 00161 Rome  
 Italy  
 Tel: 06 4423 1679  
 Email: [segreteria@yemenembassy.it](mailto:segreteria@yemenembassy.it)

#### **OBSERVER COUNTRIES - PAYS OBSERVATEURS - PAÍSES OBSERVADORES**

#### **EUROPEAN UNION - UNION EUROPÉENNE UNIÓN EUROPEA**

Ms Barbara MORETTI  
 Administrator  
 European Commission  
 DG Sante  
 Rue Froissart 101  
 1049 Brussels, Belgium  
 Email: [barbara.moretti@ec.europa.eu](mailto:barbara.moretti@ec.europa.eu)

**NETHERLANDS - PAYS-BAS - PAÍSES BAJOS**

Ms Heleen VAN ROOTSELAAR  
Policy Officer  
Ministry of Economic Affairs  
Plant Supply Chain and Food Quality Department  
PO Box 20401  
The Hague  
Tel: +31 70 378 5042  
Email: [h.vanrootselaar@minez.nl](mailto:h.vanrootselaar@minez.nl)

**MOROCCO - MAROC - MARRUECOS**

Mrs Hasna FAHMANE  
(Head of Delegation)  
Veterinarian  
National Food Safety Office of  
Standardization  
Avenue Hadj Ahmed Cherkaoui Agdal Rabat  
Rabat  
Tel: +212661221841  
Email: [fahmanehasna@yahoo.fr](mailto:fahmanehasna@yahoo.fr)

**SPECIAL OBSERVERS -  
OBSERVATEURS SPECIAUX -  
OBSERVADORES ESPECIALES****PALESTINE - PALESTINA**

Mr Saleem JAYYOUSI  
(Head of Delegation)  
Chairman  
Technical Regulations Committee  
Palestine Standards Institution  
Jerusalem St, Attal District  
P.O. Box 2258  
Ramallah, Palestine  
Tel: +970-(0)2-2984144/2965191  
Email: [sjayyousi@psi.pna.ps](mailto:sjayyousi@psi.pna.ps)

Mr Mamoun BARGHOUTH  
Palestine Embassy  
Rome, Italy  
Email: [fao@ambasciatapalestina.com](mailto:fao@ambasciatapalestina.com)

Ms Suha SAWALHA  
Director  
Food Safety  
Ministry of Health  
Palestine  
Email: [suha.arar@gmail.com](mailto:suha.arar@gmail.com)

**SWITZERLAND - SUISSE -SUIZA**

Mrs Awilo OCHIENG PERNET  
Chair, Codex Alimentarius Commission  
Federal Food Safety and Veterinary Office  
FSVO  
Division of International Affairs  
Bern, Switzerland  
Email: [awilo.ochieng@blv.admin.ch](mailto:awilo.ochieng@blv.admin.ch)

**INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATIONS -  
ORGANISATIONS INTERNATIONALES -  
ORGANIZACIONES INTERNACIONALES****INTERNATIONAL DAIRY FEDERATION  
(IDF/FIL)**

Mr Michael HICKEY  
Delegate  
Irish National Committee of IDF  
Derryreigh, Creggane, Charleville  
Co. Cork, Ireland  
Email: [mfhickey@oceanfree.net](mailto:mfhickey@oceanfree.net)

**INTERNATIONAL FEDERATION FOR ANIMAL  
HEALTH (IFAH)**

Dr Olivier ESPEISSE  
Director of EU and Africa Government Affairs  
IFAH  
ELANCO SANTE ANIMALE - Lilly France  
13, rue Pagès  
92158 Suresnes Cedex  
FRANCE  
Tel. No.: +33 1 5549 3535  
Email: [espeisse\\_olivier@elanco.com](mailto:espeisse_olivier@elanco.com)

**INTERNATIONAL FOOD POLICY RESEARCH  
INSTITUTE (IFPRI)**

Dr Anne MACKENZIE  
Head, Standards and Regulatory Issues  
IFPRI  
HarvestPlus  
6442 Aston Rd.  
Ottawa, Canada  
Tel: 613 6920211  
Email: [a.mackenzie@cgiar.org](mailto:a.mackenzie@cgiar.org)

**FOOD AND AGRICULTURE ORGANIZATION OF  
THE UNITED NATIONS (FAO) -  
ORGANISATION DES NATIONS UNIES POUR  
L'ALIMENTATION ET L'AGRICULTURE (FAO)  
ORGANIZACIÓN DE LAS NACIONES UNIDAS PARA  
LA ALIMENTACIÓN Y LA AGRICULTURA (FAO)**

Dr Fatima Hachem  
Food and Nutrition Officer  
Regional Office for the Near East  
P.O. Box 2223 Cairo  
Egypt Tel: +20.2.331.6144 Fax: +20.2.749.5981 Email:  
Email: [fatima.hachem@fao.org](mailto:fatima.hachem@fao.org)

Dr Renata CLARKE  
Head, Food Safety and Quality Unit  
Department of Agriculture and Protection  
Food and Agriculture Organization of the  
United Nations (FAO)  
Viale delle Terme di Caracalla  
00153 Rome, Italy  
Email: [renata.clarke@fao.org](mailto:renata.clarke@fao.org)

Mrs Mary KENNY  
Food Safety and Quality Officer  
Food Safety and Quality Unit  
Agriculture and Consumer Protection Department  
Food and Agriculture Organization of the  
United Nations (FAO)  
Viale delle Terme di Caracalla  
00153 Rome, Italy  
Email: [mary.kenny@fao.org](mailto:mary.kenny@fao.org)

Dr Masami TAKEUCHI  
Food Safety Officer  
Food Safety and Quality Unit  
Agriculture and Consumer Protection Department  
Food and Agriculture Organization of the  
United Nations (FAO)  
Viale delle Terme di Caracalla  
00153 Rome, Italy  
Email: [masami.takeuchi@fao.org](mailto:masami.takeuchi@fao.org)

**WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION (WHO) -  
ORGANISATION MONDIALE DE LA  
SANTÉ (OMS) -  
ORGANIZACIÓN MUNDIAL DE LA  
SALUD (OMS)**

Mr Soren MADSEN  
Technical Officer  
World Health Organization  
Food Safety  
P.O. Box 926967  
11190 Ammam, Jordan  
Email: [madsens@who.int](mailto:madsens@who.int)

Mrs Catherine MULHOLLAND  
Administrator, FAO/WHO Codex Trust Fund  
World Health Organization  
Department of Food Safety and Zoonoses (FOS)  
20 Avenue Appia  
1211 Geneva 27, Switzerland  
Tel: 41 22 791 3080  
Email: [mulhollandc@who.int](mailto:mulhollandc@who.int)

**CODEX SECRETARIAT -  
SECRÉTARIAT DU CODEX -  
SECRETARÍA DEL CODEX**

Mrs Gracia BRISCO  
Food Standards Officer  
Joint FAO/WHO Food Standards Programme  
Viale delle Terme di Caracalla,  
00153 Rome, Italy  
Email: [gracia.brisco@fao.org](mailto:gracia.brisco@fao.org)

Mr Patrick SEKITOLEKO  
Food Standards Officer  
Joint FAO/WHO Food Standards Programme  
Viale delle Terme di Caracalla,  
00153 Rome, Italy  
Email: [patrick.sekitoleko@fao.org](mailto:patrick.sekitoleko@fao.org)

Ms Lingping ZHANG  
Food Standards Officer  
Joint FAO/WHO Food Standards Programme  
Viale delle Terme di Caracalla,  
00153 Rome, Italy  
Email: [lingping.zhang@fao.org](mailto:lingping.zhang@fao.org)

Mr Tom HEILANDT  
Secretary, Codex Alimentarius Commission  
Joint FAO/WHO Food Standards Programme  
Viale delle Terme di Caracalla,  
00153 Rome, Italy  
Email: [tom.heilandt@fao.org](mailto:tom.heilandt@fao.org)

**APPENDIX II****STRATEGIC PLAN FOR THE  
FAO/WHO COORDINATING COMMITTEE FOR THE NEAR EAST (CCNEA) 2016-2019****1 Introduction**

Meeting the recommendations of the safety and quality standards adopted by the Codex Alimentarius Commission can open markets for food products in many parts of the world resulting in increased income for exporting countries. This is the reason why regions that have not traditionally been major exporters of food products, like the Near East, are moving to meet Codex standards.

Most Near East countries have already taken steps to improve their food safety systems. However, in a region dominated by the export of commodities such as fruit, vegetables, olive oil and fish, the complexity of meeting ever-evolving standards, requires boosting the capacity of local experts and the existing food regulatory infrastructure.

**2 Elements of the Strategic Plan**

The Draft Strategic Plan 2016-2019 for the CCNEA region contains the goal, strategic objectives and actions to achieve the expected outcomes within a four (4) year time frame. The Strategic Plan for CCNEA has been aligned to support the CAC Strategic Plan 2014-2019, seeking to achieve better coordination and interaction among Member countries for strengthening national food safety systems and promoting harmonisation with Codex.

**2.1 Global goal**

To enhance the role of the countries of the Near East region in Codex activities and to strengthen their collaboration in order to promote the use of Codex standards and protect their interests.

**2.2 Core values**

In fulfilling its strategic vision, CCNEA adheres to Codex core values that include:

- collaboration
- consensus building
- transparency
- inclusiveness

**STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES**

This Strategic Plan identifies 4 strategic objectives for the region for the period 2016-2019. The Strategic Plan should be reviewed at each Session of CCNEA to determine the progress and identify gaps.

<b>Objectives</b>	<b>Outcomes</b>	<b>Proposed Activities</b>	<b>Time</b>	<b>Responsible</b>	<b>Indicators</b>
<b>1. Enhance the participation of countries of the region in Codex activities</b>	A. Identify Codex committees, task forces and working groups that are of particular interest to the region	- Conduct periodic surveys via questionnaire about Codex committees priorities for countries of the region and schedule priorities according to the common interests among countries of the region	June 2016	Coordinator and Codex Contact Points	- List of priorities
	B. Encourage stakeholders' engagement in Codex activities	- Explore different means, including the use of CTF2 to ensure continued effective participation of countries of the region in priority Codex committees and related Codex activities	Ongoing	Countries of the region in collaboration with the Coordinator	- Reports of participation - Documents submitted to meetings - Number of participating countries in Codex activities
	C. Share information on specific topics, scientific data and research areas of interest to the region	- Establish and activate a website for CCNEA  - Exchange of scientific information and experiences	June 2016	Codex Secretariat  Coordinator and Codex Contact Points	- An active and operational CCNEA website
<b>2. Strengthen regional communication, experience sharing and coordination among CCNEA Member countries in Codex activities</b>	A. Exchange views and information on all matters related to Codex	- Conduct a survey via a questionnaire about the meeting's agenda to identify the points of interest for the region and to include observations from countries on their specific points	Two months prior to the meeting	Coordinator and Codex Contact Points	- Number of replies to the questionnaire

Objectives	Outcomes	Proposed Activities	Time	Responsible	Indicators
	B. Define a common position of the region on Codex issues	- Establish an updated list of names and contacts of countries of the region (Heads of delegations) participating in Codex meetings from countries of the region.	One month before the defined session	Coordinator and Codex Contact Points	- Updated list of Heads of delegations distributed among countries of the region
	C. Coordinate the participation in Codex events and enhance regional communication through different tools	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Follow-up, where possible, the availability of Codex documents in a timely manner especially in Arabic language</li> <li>- Organise electronic groups when necessary to discuss specific topics of common interest for countries of the region</li> </ul>	Ongoing	Coordinator and member countries	- Documents downloaded on the Codex website in Arabic and on time
	D. Set the SOP's for Codex documents follow-up	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Exchange of written notes among countries of the region on draft standards and Codex issues and ensure that these observations are well defended during Codex meetings even in the absence of the country concerned</li> <li>- Ensure that the agenda of CCNEA sessions include all Codex matters which are of interest to the region</li> </ul>	1 month prior to the meeting  Every session  CCNEA session	Coordinator and Codex Contact Points  Coordinator  Coordinator	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- WG reports</li> <li>- Codex meetings documents with the region comments</li> <li>- Compiled CCNEA report</li> </ul>

Objectives	Outcomes	Proposed Activities	Time	Responsible	Indicators
<b>3. Promote use of Codex standards and the development and/or review of Codex standards and related texts taking into account regional situations and needs</b>	A. Identify specific food products and areas of interest to the region that require standards and related texts to be developed and/or reviewed	- Conduct a survey among countries of the region in order to highlight the draft standards of interest to the region that could be presented as Codex work	2 months before CCNEA meetings	Coordinator and Codex Contact Points	- CAC and CCNEA reports mention the accepted standards proposal
	B. Promote the use of standards in regulations to facilitate trade within the region	- Provide CCEXEC subsequent to CCNEA sessions with a summary of the problems and /challenges experienced by countries in the adoption of Codex standards as well as the proposed solutions	After every CCNEA session	Coordinator and Codex Contact Points	- Reports of CCEXEC and CCNEA
	C. Increase awareness on the importance of Codex amongst relevant stakeholders (e.g. government, industry, consumers, academia, professional bodies and non-governmental organisations (NGOs)).	- Organise workshops on the importance of the adoption of Codex standards	Ongoing	Coordinator	- Numbers of workshops conducted and - Number of participants
<b>4. Build capacity of countries of the region in relation to risk assessment</b>	A. Identify and prioritise the common regional risks	- Conduct periodic surveys about the problems faced by countries of the region on the issue of food safety, scientific advice and research needs	2 months before the next session	Coordinator, Codex Contact Points and National Codex Committees	- Responses and proposals from members
	B. Identify the needs for scientific research	- Organise meetings on the sidelines of the Codex meeting to discuss food safety and quality issues in the region	Ongoing	Coordinator and Codex Contact Points	- Number of meetings and number of participants - Reports from Member countries on capacity building from food safety organisations

Objectives	Outcomes	Proposed Activities	Time	Responsible	Indicators
	C. Implement pilot programmes to assess these risks and to communicate the results	- Explore ways to conduct risk assessment in areas of importance to the region	End of 2016	Coordinator and countries of the region	- Number of risk assessments performed at regional level
	D. Enhance the involvement of experts from the region in the FAO/WHO scientific committees	Establish an updated database of experts from the region and provide this list to FAO and WHO	Ongoing	Coordinator and Codex Contact Points	- Number of experts included in the database
<b>5. Strengthen capacities of Codex Contact Points and/or National Codex Committees</b>	A. Supply National Codex Committees and Codex Contact Points with the required references, trainings and tools so they can perform their roles properly	- Update list of Codex Contact Points in the countries of the region	February 2016	Coordinator	- Updated lists
	B. Promote regional networking among Codex Contact Points/delegates to improve communication and share experiences on Codex and related issues	- Conduct a survey on the structure of Codex in countries within the region	April 2016	Coordinator and Codex Contact Points	- Lists; and data available
		- Develop operating procedures for the work of the Coordinator with countries of the region in order to facilitate communication	End of 2017	Coordinator and countries of the region in consultation with the Codex Secretariat	- Operating procedures written
		- Explore ways and means for organising workshops for National Contact Points, National Codex Committees and the regional Coordinator in regards to the affairs of the Codex Alimentarius and the tasks assigned to them	Ongoing	Coordinator in consultation with the Codex Secretariat	- Number of workshops and number of participants